

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/747,942	12/27/2000	Akira Haneda	2583-107	3483
6449	7590 10/22/2003	EXAMINER		INER
ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. 1425 K STREET, N.W.			FERGUSON, LAWRENCE D	
SUITE 800	E1, N.W.		. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			1774	121
			DATE MAILED: 10/22/2003	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/747,942	HANEDA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Lawrence D Ferguson	1774			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	•	,				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 July 2003.					
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>6</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) D Notice of Informal F	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/747,942

Art Unit: 1774

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Amendment

1. This action is in response to the amendment mailed July 10, 2003. Claims 1-5 were canceled and claim 6 was added and is now pending.

# Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102(a)

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Cyr et al. (U.S. 6,138,913).

Cyr discloses a printed substrate which can be constructed from woven and non-woven fabrics (column 5, lines 38-46) where fluorescent ink is applied to the substrate by printing (column 6, lines 38-42). Cyr discloses the substrate can be a label (column 4, lines 27-31) where the substrate contains a coating (column 5, lines 57-61) and a coating on the back surface (column 6, lines 60-67). The reference discloses the coating is substantially opaque (column 9, lines 5-7) and reflects greater than 50%-90%

Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

Application/Control Number: 09/747,942

of visible and ultraviolet light (50% to 90% opaque). In instant claim 6, the phrase, 'for a care label' is directed to intended use. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Veiga (U.S. 6,350,709) discloses a coated woven or non-woven fabric (abstract, column 5, lines 1-30; and figure 2). Additionally, McKinney et al. (U.S. 4,758,465) shows a fabric substrate with a base coat and top coat applied to surface, where the substrate is woven (column 3, lines 18-32) where the compositions of the layers may be of any desired color (or pigment) (column 5,lines 12-21) which is inclusive of fluorescent pigment.

## Response to Arguments

5. Arguments of rejection made under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Andric et al. (U.S. 5,449,200) is most based on grounds of new rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/747,942

Art Unit: 1774

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

#### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lawrence Ferguson whose telephone number is (703) 305-9978. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 AM – 4:30PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached on (703) 308-0449. Please allow the examiner twenty-four hours to return your call.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/747,942

**Art Unit: 1774** 

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

Lawrence D. Ferguson

Examiner Art Unit 1774 CYNTHIA H. KELLY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

HNCLCGY CENTER 1700